UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,867	10/17/2003	Francesco Stellacci	P-8698-US	9441
	7590 12/30/200 dek Latzer, LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 Broadway 12th Floor New York, NY 10036			YANG, NELSON C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/688,867	STELLACCI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nelson Yang	1641			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Pasponsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Se	entember 2000				
· <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 September 2009</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.				
	, <del></del>				
•	- ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>111,112,145,146,177-180,182,183,215 and 216</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		<del>-</del> -			
8) Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,40,49,50,52,57,97,106,107,109,111,112,145,146,177-180,182,183,215,216,246 and 247.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 1,40,49,50,52,57,97,106,107,109,111,112,145,146,177-180,182,183,215,216,246 and 247.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,867 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's amendment of claims 1, 57, 246, 247 is acknowledged and has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1, 40, 49, 50, 52, 57, 97, 106-107, 109, 246, and 247 are currently pending and under examination.
- 3. Claims 111, 112, 145, 146, 177-180, 182-183, 215, 216 are withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's amendment of claims 1, 57, 246, 247 has necessitated the following election of species requirement. This is made in addition to the restriction requirement mailed January 14, 2008.

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: applying heat, using a solution having a high ionic strength, a combination of applying heat and using a solution having a high ionic strength, using a solution containing an enzyme, and applying a magnetic field. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record. More specifically, these different species would only be effective in breaking the attractive force or bonds between different sets of molecules, and the techniques that would be successful for a given set of molecules would not be successful for a different set of molecules, and would further require a search on the different types of bonds or attractive forces that would be capable of being broken upon application of each of the different species. Furthermore, these species were not previously

Art Unit: 1641

recited in the claims. Therefore, searching for the different recited species would pose an undue burden on the Office.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, the broad genus of "breaking the attractive force or bonds", drawn to claims 1, 58, is generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to

petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson Yang whose telephone number is (571)272-0826. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Shibuya can be reached on (571)272-0806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,867 Page 5

Art Unit: 1641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nelson Yang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1641